COVERAGE NAME: ASSEM00

COVERAGE AREA: STATE

COVERAGE DESCRIPTION:

The 'ASSEM00' layer represents the 2002 Assembly district boundaries as created by the State Supreme Court. District lines were created from 2000 census tract lines which are based on US Census Bureau TIGER/Line files. Where Assembly district lines coincide with county boundaries or state highways linework from the Caltrans library cnty_bnd or st_hwy coverage has been included. This ARC/INFO coverage originated from Atlas GIS interchange files supplied by the Supreme Court.

VITAL STATISTICS:

Datum: NAD 83
Projection: Albers
Units: Meters

 1st Std. Parallel:
 34 00 00 (34.0 degrees N)

 2nd Std. Parallel:
 40 30 00 (40.5 degrees N)

 Longitude of Origin:
 -120 00 00 (120.0 degrees W)

Latitude of Origin: 00 00 00 (0.0 degrees)

False Easting (X shift): 0

False Northing (Y shift): -4,000,000

Source: California State Supreme Court digital files

Source Units: Decimal degrees

Source Scale: 1:100,000

Capture Method: Converted to ARC/INFO from Atlas GIS interchange files

Conversion Software: ARC/Info Rev 5.0

Data Structure: Vector
ARC/INFO Coverage Type: Polygon
ARC/INFO Precision: Single

ARC/INFO Tolerances: .1 meter fuzzy/5 meter dangle

Number of Features: 88 Layer Size: 3.9 MB

Data Updated: December 2000 - Replacement of coincident county

lines

December 2000 - Membership updated January 2003 - Membership updated April 2006 - Membership updated

DATA DICTIONARY:

1 of 2 4/13/2006

NOTE: Items common to all polygon coverages: AREA, PERIMETER, <coverage>#, and <coverage>-ID are not described here.

Non-standa	rd POLYGON attr	ribute fields:			
COLUMN	ITEM NAME	WIDTH	OUTPUT	TYPE	N.DEC
17	DISTRICT	3	3	I	-
20	MEMBER	30	30	C	-
50	PARTY	1	1	C	_

DISTRICT: District number

MEMBER: Assembly member's name

PARTY: Member's political party affiliation

DATA QUALITY ASSESSMENT:

The following are subjective comments regarding this data.

Feature completeness is excellent. Feature accuracy is fair. Since the lines are based on TIGER Linework, it ranges in accuracy from very good (DLG areas) to very poor (GBF/DIME areas). Attribute completeness and accuracy is excellent for the attributes DISTRICT, MEMBER and PARTY.

2 of 2 4/13/2006